Sage on the Stage in the Digital Age: The Role of Online Lecture in Distance Learning

Q B. Chung
Villanova University, Pennsylvania, USA
q.chung@villanova.edu

Abstract: The Internet can be a useful tool that can enhance interactivity in classes. Accordingly, offering distance learning courses using the Web, especially in the asynchronous mode for the additional flexibility of time, is becoming an established practice in higher education. Web-based distance learning comes with numerous benefits, but not without worries for potential deficiencies. One such deficiency in the current distance learning framework is the lack of lecture, the most relied-upon and proven means of instruction in the traditional classroom settings. This paper raises an issue of the lack of lectures in Web-based distance learning, and proposes that streaming video take the role of online lecture in that setting. Described in this paper are the rationale to put the lecture back into e-learning in higher education, two case studies in which the steps were taken to implement the proposed method, and the feedback from the students who took such courses in the undergraduate business curriculum and the MBA program.
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1. Introduction

The supportive role of information technology in higher education is a well-established concept. Before the advent of the Internet, numerous studies were undertaken to ascertain the positive impact of instructional information technologies such as electronic classrooms (Leidner & Jarvenpaa, 1993), group decision support systems or GDSS (Alavi, 1994; Briggs, Ramesh, Romano & Latimer, 1994), and a collaborative inter-school electronic linkage (Alavi, Yoo & Vogel, 1997), which can be viewed as a precursor to the Web-based distance learning environment.

Educators today can take advantage of the Internet, especially the Web, to enhance interactivity of courses. Provision of robust network infrastructure is a prerequisite to this new excitement, but such requirement is often readily satisfied in most developed regions of the world. In the context of education, Plous (2000) points out that the Web is convenient, time-saving, suitable for assignments, appealing to students, and able to reach larger audience. Accordingly, offering distance learning courses using the Web is becoming an established practice in higher education, which is literally a global phenomenon. (See Academic Conferences International, 2004, for the diverse geographical representation as well as the commonality of e-learning issues.)

Along with the ubiquity of the Web and its applications in learning, there has been a steep growth of interest in designing and deploying distance learning courses in universities and colleges in various disciplines (Cody, 1999), with increasing degrees of sophistication over time (Reisman, Dear & Edge, 2001). The Web-based distance learning comes with numerous benefits, but not without worries for potential deficiencies in learning. One such deficiency in the current distance learning framework is the lack of lecture, the most relied-upon and proven means to teaching in classrooms in the traditional face-to-face learning.

This paper raises an issue of the lack of lecture in Web-based distance learning courses, and proposes that streaming video take the role of lecture in distance learning, which can be produced from lecture slides of presentation software such as Microsoft PowerPoint. Described in this paper is the rationale to put the lecture back into distance learning, the steps taken to implement the proposed approach, and the feedback from the students who took Web-based courses in the undergraduate business curriculum.
and in the MBA level at Villanova University.

2. Background
It must be noted that distance learning is not a new phenomenon that came into being as a result of recent progress in network technologies and the advent of the Internet. As early as 1980s, synchronous distance learning courses were offered in universities (such as Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York) to geographically dispersed and distant off-campus students via real-time satellite communication. In addition to seats for students and the podium and chalkboard for the instructor, the classrooms were equipped with cameras and microphones, and a recording and broadcasting system that could be found in typical TV studios. Monitors were also embedded in the lectern for the instructor to view and interact with the students in remote sites. Likewise, each of the remote sites was equipped with a TV monitor and a camera capable of communicating in real time with the broadcasting system in the classroom where the instructor and in-class students were having a class. The scene resembled today's teleconferencing.

Such synchronous distance learning infrastructure was not widespread due to the prohibitive cost of installation and maintenance of the technology. The platform for distance learning today is drastically different from what is described above. Distance learning courses usually use the Web as the medium, and therefore Web site design and management becomes a necessary component of course development. There are various commercially available distance learning platforms (e.g., WebCT and Blackboard) that can save the instructor's time and energy. They come with standard support features such as course content organizer, on-line quiz, text-based synchronous discussion (or chat), collaborative on-line calendar, threaded discussion board, and the like. To be more appealing to the instructors, publishers of popular textbooks even create (and sometimes host) the course content by providing the 'Webified' version of the textbook, which can be made available on the course Web site or linked to it. However, the most crucial enabling factor for today's distance learning is the widespread penetration of the Internet (the Web, to be more specific), and the most pronounced difference between the pre-Internet and post-Internet distance learning is that, for the most part, now learning can take place asynchronously. The implication is immense in that not only the barrier of space (distance learning) but also that of time (asynchronous) has been eliminated.

The disciplines that offer Web-based distance learning courses can be found practically all over college campuses, ranging from business (e.g., Goodwin, Graham & Scarborough, 2001; DeLacey and Leonard, 2002) to education (e.g., Hunt, 1998; Moallem, 2001) to nursing (e.g., Irons, Jung & Keel, 2002). Many 'success stories' can be named that reported various successful features of their distance learning courses, such as threaded discussion board (Lawson, 2000; Ellenchild Pinch & Graves, 2000) and collaborative projects (Matthews, 1999; Pychyl, Clarke & Abarbanel, 1999).

Although the Web is an excellent vehicle to convey data in various forms, it has been found that the Web is not necessarily a good replacement of printed content when it comes to textual data. Hypermedia, the technology behind the point-and-click user interface to access difference Web content, has become a target of controversy due to the possibility that it can support different beliefs about its role in learning. On the one hand, the Web can be viewed as a superior medium of learning to the traditional, rigid, printed form. On the other hand, the unstructured and fluid nature of the Web can support a view that it can be an inhibitor to learning, which was not a concern when the materials were presented only in the printed form. One of the findings of Everland and Dunwoody (2001) is that learning, measured by recognition of the organization and structure of the presented information, from printed materials is better than learning from the linear and non-linear information presented in Web pages. The implication is that the Web-based distance learning might be better off by leaving the reading assignment to the textbook rather than converting the textbook to HTML documents.
3. Rationale for distance learning lecture

Although many reports pride their successes in Web-based distance learning, it is not for everyone. Certain courses do not lend themselves easily to distance learning, having to be ‘taught’ only in the face-to-face mode. They are the ones that need the ‘teachings’ of the ‘sage on the stage’ or the ones where learning takes place by ‘observation over the shoulder,’ or the ones where acquisition of certain physical, motor, and voice skills by supervised practices is a critical part of learning, or the ones that involve hands-on laboratory works. In other words, courses like drama, watercolor painting, or chemistry labs would pose a challenge if offered as Web-based distance learning courses.

A related issue about Web-based distance learning is the potential, and apparent to a certain degree, lack of lecture. Bourne (1998) divides the content of Web-based learning (or Net-Learning, according to his terminology) into two components: 50% self learning and 50% learning with others. The self-learning component again is made up of on-line materials (e.g., reading, browsing, and taking tests) and computer-based training (e.g., simulation, visualization, and data access). The component of learning with others is comprised of on-line conferencing (e.g., electronic mail, listservs, and threaded discussion) and synchronous interactions (e.g., on-line chat and telephone conversations). Surprisingly, there is no mention of ‘lecture’ in the context of Web-based distance learning.

Similar views are shared by a number of ‘theorists’ and ‘experts’ of learning. It seems that, according to these opinions, learning can happen primarily by the effort of students while the teaching function of the instructor stops at developing Web-based course materials, and only to point where to look, and testing if the students ‘got it.’ (For a typical set of roles of instructors in student-centered teaching, see Motschning-Pitrik & Holzinger, 2002, p.165.) They argue that the traditional role of face-to-face lectures is a thing of the past, when today’s technology was unavailable, and that the new mode of learning has emerged where the teacher is like a coach who facilitates mutual learning and participates in the process of discovery of knowledge (Wildman, 1998; Langford & Hardin, 1999).

While the traditional mode of teaching is sometimes referred to as the ‘sage on the stage’ method with a slightly negative connotation in that circle, lecturing is an indispensable part of teaching in most undergraduate courses whether they are offered as a traditional classroom course or as a Web-based distance learning course. The view described above, in which lecture is regarded as a thing of the past, is often called the ‘guide on the side’ approach, and may be applicable only to some high-level graduate courses where discovery or synthesis of new concepts is the primary goal. This reasoning is supported by the fact that they tend to refer to the students as adult learners.

Another plausible explanation of such a defensive posture of ‘not including lecture’ in the Web-based distance learning courses might simply be the difficulty of delivering lectures over the Internet, which is a medium of communication for digital contents. In fact, it is impossible to deliver as good a lecture in the distance learning mode as in classrooms where teaching and learning takes place in real time and in the fully interactive mode. No distance learning platforms today provide a vehicle to deliver a classroom-like lecture except text-based chats. While a few best-seller textbooks come with the Web content comparable to the textbook, such provision is not only a luxury available only to limited courses but also is far from being sufficient to replace the lecture of the instructor no matter how much of the pre-packaged Web content is customizable. Therefore, if lecture is to be included in a Web-based distance learning course, the instructor will have to provide more than what is currently available on the Web, on the commercial platforms, and on the campus network servers.

4. A potential solution for reclaiming lecture in distance learning

PowerPoint slides are used in a growing number of courses as a vehicle to deliver lectures. While the efficacy of PowerPoint slides for student performance is inconclusive (Szabo & Hastings, 2000), if made available in advance, they can help
students take notes during the lecture instead of copying the contents of the slides. The utility of PowerPoint slides goes farther in distance learning environment. A good portion of distance learning courses use PowerPoint slides, which serve practically as a replacement of lecture. Easily transported via the Internet and with the popularity of the software, PowerPoint files are becoming the mode of content delivery for e-learning. However, since the slides are merely teaching aids but not meant to substitute the lecture, instructors of distance learning courses try to make up for the missing lecture in various ways, such as annotating the slides as much as possible or including the lecture scripts as part of the file.

Adopting simple multimedia authoring software such as RealPresenter®—or its subsequent evolutions (e.g., PresenterONE®) and its competitors (e.g., Macromedia’s PowerPRESENTER® that produces flash content out of PowerPoint slides)—in Web-based distance learning courses seems to shed light on the feasibility of taking this trend a step further by turning still PowerPoint slides into a streaming video. (See Tiedemann, 2002, for alternatives.) This involves a fairly simple procedure of recording and mixing the sound of lecture with the slides (loosely termed voice-over). This can be a fine emulation of a classroom lecture applicable to a distance learning course, in which the lecture can be equated to the explanations of the slides for the most part, although the mode of lecture is still not fully interactive.

However, there are courses like an introductory undergraduate information systems course where there are other elements in the lecture than explaining the content of slides. For instance, after a certain concept has been introduced (e.g., role of software in managerial decision making), students learn how to apply the introduced concept using software (e.g., spreadsheet modeling in Excel, querying a database using Access, or programming in Visual Basic). Therefore, demonstration of software application is often an essential part of the lecture, and switching between the lecture slides and the software demonstration is commonplace. In addition, it is often necessary to write on the board impromptu in order to work on additional examples. Figure 1 shows a typical lecture scene of such courses.

Explanation using voice is the dominant part of the lecture, and is denoted by (in class). The ceiling-mounted projection unit is used to show the slides (handwriting) and software demonstration (in class). Since there is only one projection unit and one projection screen in a typical classroom, they need to be alternated during the lecture. Denoted by (in class) is the writing on the board for additional discussion topics that is not part of the prepared slides.

![Figure 1: Lecture components of a typical introductory information systems course](image)

### 5. Distance learning without lecture

From the students’ point of view, the above four lecture components are only a part of a larger learning process. Shown below is a simple chart (Figure 2) depicting what activities should take place before, during, and after the class, in the traditional classroom setting. Without a proper mechanism to compensate the missing lectures, distance learning classes could result in serious compromise. More specifically, if distance classes were to use PowerPoint slides only, but without lecture, the following misgivings are expected.

#### Figure 2: Activities in traditional class learning

As shown in Figure 3, the primary deficiencies indicate the direct effect of inadequate lecture (or no lecture at all, other than providing slides) in a distance learning class, while the secondary
deficiencies are the ripple effects resulting from the primary deficiencies. Both types of deficiencies are inevitable unless the class meets in the regular classroom (i.e., face-to-face) and takes the burden of making up for what should have been done in the distance classes.

![Diagram of deficiencies in distance learning classes](image)

Figure 3: Potential deficiencies in a distance learning class without lecture

6. Implementation of distance learning lectures

The Business School at Villanova University decided to offer a small number of distance learning sections from each discipline. It was also decided that such distance learning sections were to be offered as a mixture of face-to-face and online classes, so-called ‘50/50 DL.’ The campus-wide distance learning platform was WebCT, which was used for organizing course materials (e.g., syllabus, lecture slides, additional reading materials, etc.), communications (e.g., chat, threaded discussion, etc.), and evaluations (quizzes and exams). Since WebCT was synchronized with the Registrar’s database of courses and students, the instructor’s extra burden to manage student records was fairly light. Another added benefit of using WebCT was that it created a password-protected environment. Therefore, only the students who were officially enrolled in the course could access the particular distance learning section’s Web site. By virtue of being a Web-based platform, WebCT was accessible from both on-campus locations through local area networks and off-campus locations through students’ own ISPs.

Production of streaming video lecture was done with RealPresenter®, a multimedia authoring software package, whose primary function was to turn PowerPoint slides into voice-over streaming video content. In addition, a low-end PC video camera was also used to capture any other live images. With this fairly inexpensive setup, the voiced-over online lectures (for 1 and 2 in Figure 1) integrated with computer “screens shots” (for 3) and ordinary video (for 4) could be produced. By designing and delivering lectures this way, distance learning classes could emulate much of in-class lectures, while maintaining the benefits of the online distance learning format—i.e., remote and asynchronous access to lectures—along with the ability to “replay” the lectures.

In implementing distance learning with lecture, three physically separate servers were used. The first server housed WebCT and its contents, available only to those who were enrolled in the distance learning sections. The second one was a general Web server, open to the public, holding the course syllabus, announcements, and lecture slides. Typically, students downloaded the lecture slide files a few days before class whether they were distance learning or regular in-class students. Finally, the third server was equipped with the RMServer® operating system, which was necessary for streaming the lecture content (in the form of so-called Real media) to the student’s computer either via the Internet (for off-campus) or through the campus LAN.
6.1 Case Study I

Two sections of the introductory information systems course were selected to be offered as 50/50 DL. The classes met face-to-face in the classroom every other week, and when they did not meet in the classroom, they ‘met’ on-line in “DL” weeks. On-line lectures were recorded over the weekend before the distance week, and uploaded using FTP from the instructor’s computer to the RMServer server. During the distance week, students were expected to download the lecture slides, play (or view, listen to) the lecture, and take a quiz which was based on the lecture materials of the distance week. During the distance week, office hours were held on-line using the ‘chat’ facility of WebCT. Often times, students were “sent” to breakout chat rooms to meet in small groups and come up with answers to the discussion questions.

A survey instrument consisting of ten questions (See Table 1.) was developed to evaluate the efficacy of the streaming lectures for distance learning. The first nine questions were in the form of a statement, and the students were asked to specify the extent to which they agreed with them. The last one was an open-ended question asking for suggestions for improvement. Two weeks prior to the end of the semester, the survey was taken via the anonymous on-line survey facility available on WebCT. In short, the survey was intended to measure the benefits of the 50/50 DL approach from the perspective of the students.

Table 1: Case Study I - Survey questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Section 01</th>
<th>Section 02</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) This course is my first experience in distance learning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Due to the lack of actual contacts, the distance learning classes are less effective than face-to-face classes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question (A) could simply be answered either by ‘True’ or ‘False,’ but used the same answer categories as in Questions (B) through (I), for which students were asked to select one of the follow alternatives:

- Strongly disagree [SD]
- Disagree [D]
- Neutral [N]
- Agree [A]
- Strongly agree [SA]

The survey result from the two sections of 50/50 DL classes is summarized as Table 2. From the answers to Question (A), it was clear that distance learning was a new experience to the majority of students. (Forty-four out of fifty-five respondents said this was their first distance course.) Regarding the comparative effectiveness between distance learning and face-to-face classes, 20% of the students felt that distance learning classes were less effective than face-to-face classes. Three students strongly agreed, and eight agreed with the statement, “Due to the lack of actual contacts, the distance learning classes are less effective than face-to-face classes.”
Students had been informed of the format (50/50 DL) of the sections they were enrolled in, and the next question was intended to see how true it was that they took the distance learning section of the course to enjoy time flexibility, which was a common answer obtained in informal conversations in the hallways. The survey result confirms that flexibility was the biggest attraction to distance learning. By common sense we can hypothesize that time flexibility could develop a tendency to procrastinate, and the next question was to gauge how distance learning affects the student’s behavior in time management. Opinions about distance learning being a source of potential procrastination were fairly evenly distributed. The next question, “Full scale distance learning, rather than 50%, can work well during regular semesters,” was to asked because some 100% distance learning courses were offered during the summer session, and was to test out the feasibility of such distance learning format in undergraduate courses during the spring or fall semesters. The survey finds that most students felt that full-scale distance learning may not work outside the summer session, at least from the undergraduate students’ perspectives.

The next was the very question designed to verify the validity of the idea that lecture is indispensable even in distance learning courses: “In a distance week, reading the textbook and viewing PowerPoint slides alone (without the streaming video lecture) does not make me learn much.” More students disagreed with the statement than agreed. This can be rephrased as “Without the on-line lecture during the distance week, I can learn as much,” and can be possibly interpreted as a rejection to the working hypothesis of the current project. However, with the last question of open-ended comments and suggestions, it became clear that it did not indicate the reverse of the necessity of on-line lectures for distance learning. Unlike what the number says (means 2.89 and 2.54 out of 5 with standard deviations of 1.09 and 1.26), twenty-eight students (out of fifty-five) indicated in their comments that the on-line lectures in distance weeks were as effective as, or sometimes even more effective than, in-class lectures. They named a few common reasons as below:

- Ability to pace oneself listening to the lecture
- Ability to replay parts of the lecture
- Finding the most effective time to listen to the lecture for better concentration

Here are a few representative comments from those twenty-eight students, supporting the on-line lecture idea:

“I find the streaming video lectures very helpful, and I would not learn as much without them.” — Student #8, Section 1

“I like distance learning a lot, but it definitely needs some kind of online lecture to make it worthwhile.” — Student #13, Section 1

“Personally I feel that with the streaming videos and powerpoint slides, the distance portion of the course was just as effective, if not more effective, than the weeks in class.” — Student #17, Section 1

“I found that I benefited very much from the online lectures. It made studying so much easier and the...
Examples helped me to understand the information better. I noticed a big difference between taking the test after listening to it and after not listening to it. I think that should be stressed more.” — Student #19, Section 1

“I wouldn’t have learned as much from the distance classes without the streaming video lectures.” — Student #6, Section 2

“The streaming video lectures were as effective, if not more than face-to-face because you could replay them to review the material.” — Student #15, Section 2

On the other hand, there were voices quite critical about distance learning lectures. Six out of the fifty-five students showed their disappointment toward distance learning lectures. The two main reasons for their disapproval were:

- Web congestion while the lecture was being accessed from an off-campus location
- Preference to the more natural, face-to-face, interactive environment

Here are the comments from the six students:

“The streaming video lectures are difficult to access off campus considering some of us do not have the fastest internet connections. Often the lectures would cause the internet connection to be lost. Then the entire lecture needed to be listened to all over.” — Student #3, Section 2

“It’s easier to miss something from a streaming video lecture than from an in class lecture.” — Student #10, Section 2

“[The professor] is a great teacher, so I think the distance learning hurts this course because it takes away the time from [the professor] in the classroom.” — Student #19, Section 2

“Sometimes I felt that I had not enough interaction with the class. It is the ‘modern’ way to do things, but I am not quite sure it is better.” — Student #20, Section 2

“I enjoyed the convenience to my schedule but, personally, I learn better in a face-to-face environment.” — Student #25, Section 2

“I do feel that a lack of face-to-face contact does make learning the material more difficult.” — Student #26, Section 2

As for the remaining three questions, which were meant to probe the student preference about certain aspects of distance learning lectures, it was found that the majority of students felt that online lecture kept under 50 minutes would be preferable to longer lectures, and that most students desired to have an access to the online lectures in the archive whether they were from a distance lecture or in-class lecture, and that coordination of distance courses (so that distance learning courses are scheduled consecutively to maximize scheduling convenience) was not particularly desired. The students’ opinion advocating lecture archives speaks volume for the positive aspect of the digital medium, which lends itself to convenient storage and retrieval using the network.

6.2 Case Study II

Along with the maturity of distance learning technology and practice on campus, an opportunity arose to offer Telecommunications, an advanced information systems topic, as 100% online courses at undergraduate and MBA levels during the same semester. (Prior to that time, the course had been offered as 50/50 DL.) Although they were designated as “100% DL,” the first and the last classes of the semester were to meet face-to-face. The technology, infrastructure, online lectures, online quizzes and exams, number and frequency of homework assignments, etc. remained the same as 50/50 DL. The size of the undergraduate class was 14, and the size of the MBA class was 24. All 14 undergraduate students were physically on campus throughout the semester. However, the majority of the MBA students were full-time employees, taking classes in the evening.
By design, evening MBA students can take only one class on a given day, and therefore, the number of courses they take in a semester usually determines the number of commutes to campus per week. Since the MBA students tend to juggle multiple objectives and responsibilities—career, graduate degree, family, etc.—any opportunity to reduce the number of commutes to campus seems considered a plus. In that particular semester, a number of students in the MBA class were traveling extensively, and one student in particular was literally taking a distance course, from over seven hours’ driving distance away in a different state.

As done before, a survey was administered toward the end of the semester, with the questions listed in Table 3. Unlike the questions shown in Table 1 (for 50/50 DL), there were nine questions. The first six questions required responses on a 7-point Likert scale—‘1’ being “Strongly Disagree”, ‘4’ “Neutral”, and ‘7’ “Strongly agree”—plus one more possible choice of “Not applicable” or “Cannot answer.” The remaining three questions were open-ended.

Findings from Case Study II are presented below. The summary is geared to comparing the responses of the undergraduate students to those of MBA students. Instead of aggregating the data, the full detail of the response frequencies is presented.

Table 3. Case Study II – Survey questions

- (A) Compared to other distance learning courses without online lecture, this course offers better learning opportunity because of the lecture component.
- (B) Weekly synchronous chat sessions are effective.
- (C) Weekly synchronous chat sessions are desired.
- (D) If the technology were available, I would prefer voice chat to text chat.
- (E) It is better to eliminate weekly quizzes for the sake of flexibility of time.
- (F) Posting the chat log every week is helpful.
- (G) What do you think is an appropriate length of each online lecture?
- (H) What are your opinions on distance learning in general?
- (I) What are your opinions on this particular distance learning course? Provide comments for improvement.

For Question (A), which sought to ascertain the necessity of online lectures, both undergraduate and MBA students showed positive attitude toward online lecture. Of the 14 undergraduate students, only five took other distance learning courses. None of them viewed the lack of online lectures favorably. The MBA students exhibited a wider range of opinions. Two thirds of the MBA students had taken some other distance learning courses. Although there was a predominant support for online lecture, 25% of MBA students found the lack of lecture in distance learning to be not so objectionable. This observation is summarized as Figure 4.

![Figure 4: Efficacy of online lectures in distance learning](image)

Both undergraduate and MBA classes were rather indifferent or negative about the effectiveness of the synchronous element of the distance learning. As sown in Figures 5 and 6, more students seemed to be uncommitted to an opinion as to the
effectiveness of online chat sessions, but clearly against the practice of having regular chat sessions. This strong resistance to online chat is an indication of the students’ desire for the freedom from regularity so that they could maximize time flexibility. Since these were 100% distance learning courses, it could have been almost “self-paced” learning had the regular (i.e., weekly) synchronous sessions been eliminated. As for the preference of voice chat to text chat, no clear pattern was visible.

Undergraduate

MBA

The next question—Is it better to eliminate weekly quizzes for the sake of additional flexibility of time?—was to gauge how much time flexibility was desired. This item is somewhat different from the previous issue of the desirability of chat sessions. Chat was the only element of real-time communication requiring physical presence (regardless of actual locations) of the whole class (thus synchronous). Weekly quizzes, however, were much less stringent in terms of synchronicity and regularity, since they were designed primarily to serve as a safeguard against procrastination as students were allowed to listen to the lecture practically any time of the week they chose.

The responses show that, contrary to the desire to break away from the rigidity of synchronous requirements (i.e., the chat sessions), the majority of students, both the undergraduate and MBA, wanted to keep weekly quizzes, which they saw as a “pacemaker” to keep the regularity of the distance learning mechanism. As Figure 7 indicates, there is a hint of skewed bimodality in both responses. That is, much less students are found in the middle than those at the extremes who have strong opinions. An overwhelming majority was against the idea of eliminating weekly quizzes, and approximately 14% of the undergraduate and 25% of the MBA class strongly supported eliminating weekly quizzes. The follow-up correspondence revealed that the desire to keep the weekly quizzes stemmed from the fear of procrastination, which was precisely the suspicion that had led to pose the question.
As for the opinions about the effectiveness of chat logs, virtually no one disagreed. With regard to the opinions about the appropriate length of online lectures, which was asked as an open-ended question, a similar pattern is observed between the two groups. The raw data is shown in Figure 8, but the responses can be re-grouped into three categories, i.e., (i) 30 minutes or less, (ii) between 30 and 45 minutes, and (iii) 45 to 60 minutes.

The undergraduate students’ responses can be summarized as: (i) 14% supported 30 minutes, (ii) 7% for 30-45 minutes, and (iii) 79% for 45-60 minutes. The MBA students responded: (i) 25% supporting 30 minutes or less, (ii) 8% for 30-45 minutes, and (iii) 67% for 45-60 minutes. Interestingly, one hour was the ceiling for the length of online lectures in both groups. This finding suggests that it would be wise to break up long lectures into a few smaller segments so that a needed level of concentration can be maintained.

The remaining two questions were also open-ended, soliciting comments about distance learning in general—Question (I)—and about the current course in particular—Question (J). Responses reveal a tendency of reservation towards distance learning in general, and specifically toward the distance learning courses without online lectures. This tendency stands out among the responses from the undergraduate students, while the MBA students who take evening classes seem to find distance learning a relief mechanism from their busy schedule. The following remarks represent the student feedback about distance learning in general.

“I feel that the online lectures and the chats were very important for the course, and I would never want to take a distance learning class that did not include these components.” — Student #2, Undergraduate

“I think there should be some kind of tuition discount for taking distance courses. And as they become more..."
popular, a limit on the number each student can take.” — Student #5, Undergraduate

“For undergraduate work it is not a good idea.” — Student #6, Undergraduate

“I am not a huge fan of distance learning classes.” — Student #8, Undergraduate

“To someone who is working full-time and balancing other demands, I find the added flexibility DL offers is a big plus.” — Student #1, MBA

“The more asynchronous the better the DL class.” — Student #10, MBA

“Student only gets out of the class what he or she puts into the class. If Villanova is going to establish itself as a higher tier MBA program, it must be careful how it approaches distance learning. I don’t want Villanova’s MBA program to be associated with some ‘mail-order degree’ program because of its strategy regarding distance learning.” — Student #14, MBA

The following sample represents the students’ opinions about the current course. The positive nature of the feedback is by and large attributed to the online lecture.

“This was the first distance learning class I ever took. And while I did learn much of the material, I felt like I would have learned more if I had to go to a class every week.” — Student #4, Undergraduate

“I really enjoyed this course, and liked the flexibility of completing things on my own time. This course would not work well with all professors, but your weekly sessions were focused and helpful.” — Student #9, Undergraduate

“This course was a perfect example of what a distance course should be like.” — Student #14, MBA

“I have had several distance learning courses at this point, and this one was far and away the best, meaning I learned more in this distance learning class than any of the others.” — Student #16, MBA

“I felt that the recorded lectures were extremely helpful in making sure that I was really understanding the material. I’ve had 50%/50% classes in which we did not have the online lectures just chats instead and I felt that I was forced to learn on my own in those situations.” — Student #21, MBA

Obviously these comments reinforce the original claim of this paper that online lecture should be considered an indispensable part of distance learning courses.

7. Summary and conclusion

The key point of this paper was to suggest that Web-based distance learning courses without the lecture component diminishes the various benefits of asynchronous distance learning. It first provided a cursory review of the current state of distance learning in the current higher education settings, and then presented two competing views about the role of instructors in distance learning: the ‘sage on the stage’ versus the ‘guide on the side.’

The remainder of the paper described two cases of Villanova experience that involved designing and implementing distance learning courses. The reasoning behind the argument for the indispensability of lectures in distance learning was presented first. Then, the details of the two case studies were presented—the courses, delivery format of instruction which included the online lectures, student surveys, and the summary of the feedback from the students.

In conclusion, Web-based distance learning courses will benefit from providing the students with on-line lectures using multimedia contents such as streaming video. According to the findings from the cases at Villanova University, a good majority of those who took distance learning courses indicated that a distance learning course without on-line lecture would compromise learning. Again, that was the main point of this paper.
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